

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/663,114	DURBIN, RICKY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sara Clarke	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,21,25,31-34 and 36-42</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) <u>22-24,26-30,35 and 37</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r	plication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -
D-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	 	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 23 and 37 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 23, there is no antecedent basis for "said suction cup." In claim 37, there is no antecedent basis for "said decorative feature." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 21, 25, 33, 34, 39, and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Angilletta (US 3960135).

Angilletta discloses the invention as claimed including (a) releasably connecting a portable metal solar heater to an interior surface of said window, said solar heater having a highly solar energy absorptive window facing surface; (b) heating said an enclosed space via the solar heater; (c) selectively removing the solar heater from said window during periods when heating is not desired; and repeating steps (a)-(c) to help maintain the an enclosed space within a desired temperature range. See column 4, lines 53-58. The unit 15 is described as removable from the window, which includes sash 10. See also column 3, line 50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

Application/Control Number: 10/663,114

Art Unit 3749

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 5, 41, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angilletta (US 3960135) in view of Mole (US 4020826).

Angilletta discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the specific absorptivities.

Mole discloses an absorber having a material with 95% absorptivity for heating an interior. See column 2, line 66.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the absorber of Angilletta with the black of Mole for the purpose of optimizing the heating of an interior.

Claims 6-9 and 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angilletta (US 3960135) in view of Smith et al. (US 4751115).

Angilletta discloses the invention substantially as claimed with the exception of the specific claimed decorative features.

Smith et al. discloses a heat absorbing window screen and teaches the use of painted decorative features on the inner side of the screen for decorative purposes.

See column 4, lines 8-10.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the screen of Angilletta with decorative features as taught by Smith et al. for the purpose of providing viewable decorations.

The applicant's recitation of the use of paint or embossing presents no novel or unexpected result over the ink disclosed in Smith et al. Furthermore, the applicant has not presented any evidence that such differences unexpectedly solve some problem or provide some new result in the art. Therefore, the use of paint or embossing in lieu of the disclosed ink in Smith et al. would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art, and as such the claims do not patentably distinguish over the applied art at time of applicant's invention as one skilled in the art would have considered the change as an obvious matter of design choice.

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Angilletta (US 3960135).

The applicant's recitation of the size or weight of the device presents no novel or unexpected result over the device disclosed in Angilletta. Furthermore, the applicant has not presented any evidence that such differences unexpectedly solve some problem or provide some new size or weight in lieu of the size or weight of the device of Angilletta would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art, and as such the claims do not patentably distinguish over the applied art at time of applicant's invention as one skilled in the art would have considered the change as an obvious matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-20 are allowed.

Claims 22-24, 26-30, and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. MacKenzie (Us 5081982), Fagan (US 4971028), Eckels (US 4419982), Balzer (4409960), and Eckels (US 4054125) disclose various window cover arrangements.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara Clarke whose telephone number is (703)308-1388. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-1:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira Lazarus can be reached at (703)308-1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara Clarke J. (L Primary Examiner Art Unit 3749

June 28, 2004